

Global existence and optimal decay rates for the Timoshenko system: the case of equal wave speeds

Naofumi Mori

Graduate School of Mathematics,
Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
n-mori@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Jiang Xu

Department of Mathematics,
Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics,
Nanjing 211106, P.R.China,
jiangxu_79@nuaa.edu.cn

Faculty of Mathematics,
Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

Shuichi Kawashima

Faculty of Mathematics,
Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan,
kawashim@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract

This work first gives the global existence and optimal decay rates of solutions to the classical Timoshenko system on the framework of Besov spaces. Due to the *non-symmetric* dissipation, the general theory for dissipative hyperbolic systems ([30]) can not be applied to the Timoshenko system directly. In the case of equal wave speeds, we construct global solutions to the Cauchy problem pertaining to data in the spatially Besov spaces. Furthermore, the dissipative structure enables us to give a new decay framework which pays less attention on the traditional spectral analysis. Consequently, the optimal decay estimates of solution and its derivatives of fractional order are shown by time-weighted energy approaches in terms of low-frequency and high-frequency decompositions. As a by-product, the usual decay estimate of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ - $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ type is also shown.

AMS subject classification. 35L45; 35B40; 74F05

Key words and phrases. Global existence; optimal decay estimates; critical Besov spaces; Timoshenko system

1 Introduction

Consider the following Timoshenko system (see [27, 28]), which is a set of two coupled wave equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{tt} - (\varphi_x - \psi)_x = 0, \\ \psi_{tt} - \sigma(\psi_x)_x - (\varphi_x - \psi) + \gamma\psi_t = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and describes the transverse vibrations of a beam. Here $t \geq 0$ is the time variable, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the spacial variable which denotes the point on the center line of the beam, $\varphi(t, x)$ is the transversal displacement of the beam from an equilibrium state, and ψ is the rotation angle of the filament of the beam. The smooth function $\sigma(\eta)$ satisfies $\sigma'(\eta) > 0$ for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and γ is a positive constant. System (1.1) is supplemented with the initial data

$$(\varphi, \varphi_t, \psi, \psi_t)(x, 0) = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1)(x). \quad (1.2)$$

The linearized system of (1.1) reads correspondingly as

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{tt} - (\varphi_x - \psi)_x = 0, \\ \psi_{tt} - a^2\psi_{xx} - (\varphi_x - \psi) + \gamma\psi_t = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

with $a > 0$ is the sound speed defined by $a^2 = \sigma'(0)$. The case $a = 1$ corresponds to the Timoshenko system with equal wave speeds.

1.1 Known results

In a bounded domain, the decay property of (1.3) was studied by Rivera and Rake [22, 23], where they proved that the energy decayed exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $a = 1$ and the one decayed polynomially as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $a \neq 1$. In whole space, the third author *et. al.* [10] introduced the following quantities

$$v = \varphi_x - \psi, \quad u = \varphi_t, \quad z = a\psi_x, \quad y = \psi_t, \quad (1.4)$$

so that the system (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x + y = 0, \\ u_t - v_x = 0, \\ z_t - ay_x = 0, \\ y_t - az_x - v + \gamma y = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The initial data are given by

$$(v, u, z, y)(x, 0) = (v_0, u_0, z_0, y_0)(x), \quad (1.6)$$

where $v_0 = \varphi_{0,x} - \psi_0$, $y_0 = \psi_1$, $u_0 = \varphi_1$ and $z_0 = a\psi_{0,x}$. Furthermore, it was shown by [10] that the dissipative structure of (1.5) is characterized by

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} \lambda(i\xi) \leq -c\eta_1(\xi) & \text{for } a = 1, \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda(i\xi) \leq -c\eta_2(\xi) & \text{for } a \neq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where $\lambda(i\xi)$ denotes the eigenvalues of the system (1.5) in the Fourier space, $\eta_1(\xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{1+\xi^2}$, $\eta_2(\xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{(1+\xi^2)^2}$, and c is a positive constant. As the consequence, the following decay properties are shown for $U = (v, u, z, y)^\top$ of (1.5):

$$\|\partial_x^k U(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \|U_0\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-ct} \|\partial_x^k U_0\|_{L^2} \quad (1.8)$$

for $a = 1$, and

$$\|\partial_x^k U(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \|U_0\|_{L^1} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{l}{2}} \|\partial_x^{k+l} U_0\|_{L^2} \quad (1.9)$$

for $a \neq 1$, where $U_0 := (v_0, z_0, u_0, y_0)$, k and l are nonnegative integers, and c and C are positive constants. However, the energy functionals in [10] are not optimal. Recently, by a careful analysis for asymptotic expansions of the eigenvalues, the first author and third author [17] gave the optimal energy method in Fourier spaces, which is regarded as an improved version of that in [10]. Recently, with the additional assumption $\int_{\mathbb{R}} U_0 dx = 0$, Racke and Said-Houari [24] strengthened those decay properties in [10] such that linearized solutions decay faster with a rate of $t^{-\gamma/2}$, by introducing the integral space $L^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R})$.

Other studies on the dissipative Timoshenko system can be found in the literature. We refer to [20, 21] for frictional dissipation case, [8, 25, 26] for thermal dissipation case, and [1, 3, 13, 14] for memory-type dissipation case.

1.2 Main results

The main aim of this paper is to establish the global existence and optimal decay estimates of solutions in spatially critical Besov spaces. To the best of our knowledge, so far there is no results available in this direction for the

Timoshenko system, although the critical space has already been succeeded in the study of fluid dynamical equations, see [2, 6, 9, 19] for Navier-Stokes equations, [7, 32, 33] for Euler equations and related models. In [30], with the assumptions of dissipative entropy and Shizuta-Kawashima condition, the second and third authors have already studied generally dissipative hyperbolic systems where the dissipation matrix is symmetric, however, the Timoshenko system has the non-symmetric dissipation. More precisely, with the aid of variable change (1.4) (with $a = 1$), it is convenient to rewrite (1.1)-(1.2) as a Cauchy problem for the hyperbolic system of first order

$$\begin{cases} U_t + A(U)U_x + LU = 0, \\ U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$A(U) = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma'(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.11)$$

Notice that $A(U)$ is a real symmetrizable matrix due to $\sigma'(z) > 0$, and the matrix L is nonnegative definite but not symmetric, so the Timoshenko system (1.10) is an example of hyperbolic systems with non-symmetric dissipation. Consequently, those general results (see [30]) for hyperbolic systems with symmetric dissipation can not be applied directly, which is the main motivation of this paper.

The partial damping term γy is a weak dissipation, which enables us to capture the dissipation from contributions of (y, v, u_x, z_x) only. However, there is no dissipative rates for u, z themselves. To overcome the difficulty in the derivation of a priori estimates, an elementary fact well developed (see [30]) that indicates the relation between homogeneous and inhomogeneous Chemin-Lerner spaces, will be used, see proofs of Lemmas 3.1-3.4 for more details. On the other hand, the second and third authors gave a new decay framework for general dissipative system satisfying the Shizuta-Kawashima condition (see [31]), which allows to pay less attention on the traditional spectral analysis. Inspired by the dissipative structure for the Timoshenko system (see [10] or (1.7)), we hope that the new decay framework can be adapted to the Timoshenko system with equal wave speeds. However, those analysis remain valid only for the case of high dimension ($n \geq 3$) due to

interpolation techniques. To overcome this obstruction, the degenerate space $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}$ rather than the general form $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}$ ($0 < s \leq 1/2$) will be employed. Let us mention that $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{1,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Additionally, we involve new observations in order to achieve the optimal decay estimates at the low-frequency, see (4.23) and (4.26) for details.

In the present paper, we focus on the Timoshenko system with equal wave speeds ($a = 1$). Now, main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $U_0 \in B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a positive constant δ_0 such that if*

$$\|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta_0,$$

then the Cauchy problem (1.10) has a unique global classical solution $U \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1(B_{2,1}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}))$$

Moreover, the following energy inequality holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}))} + \left(\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|(v, z_x)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|u_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{-1/2})} \right) \\ & \leq C_0 \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is a constant.

Remark 1.1. *To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 1.1 exhibit the optimal critical regularity of global well-posedness for (1.10), which is the first result in this direction for the Timoshenko system. Observe that there is 1-regularity-loss phenomenon for the dissipation rates due to the nonlinear influence, which is totally different in comparison with the linearized system (1.5) with $a = 1$.*

Based on the global-in-time existence of solutions, we further obtain the optimal decay estimates. Denote $\Lambda^\alpha f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\xi|^\alpha \mathcal{F}f$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $U(t, x) = (v, u, z, y)(t, x)$ be the global classical solution of Theorem 1.1. If further the initial data $U_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\mathcal{M}_0 := \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})}$$

is sufficiently small. Then the classical solution $U(t, x)$ of (1.10) admits the following decay estimates

$$\|\Lambda^\ell U\|_{X_1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \quad (1.12)$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 1/2$, where $X_1 := B_{2,1}^{1/2-\ell}$ if $0 \leq \ell < 1/2$ and $X_1 := \dot{B}_{2,1}^0$ if $\ell = 1/2$.

Note that the $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ embedding property in Lemma 2.3, as an immediate by-product of Theorem 1.2, the usual optimal decay estimates of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ - $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ type are available.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $U(t, x) = (v, u, z, y)(t, x)$ be the global classical solutions of Theorem 1.1. If further the initial data $U_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_0 := \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$

is sufficiently small, then

$$\|\Lambda^\ell U\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \quad (1.13)$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 1/2$.

Remark 1.2. *Let us mention that Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.1 exhibit various decay rates of solution and its derivatives of fractional order. In comparison with [11], here, the harmonic analysis allows to reduce significantly the regularity requirements on the initial data.*

The rest of this paper unfolds as follows. In Sect. 2, we present useful properties in Besov spaces, which will be used in the subsequence analysis. In Sect. 3, we construct the global-in-time solution by Fourier localization energy methods. Based on the dissipative structure, in Sect. 4, we develop the decay property for the linearized Timoshenko system (1.4)-(1.5) on the framework of Besov spaces. Then, by employing localized time-weighted energy approaches, we deduce the optimal decay estimates for (1.9). In Sect. 5 (Appendix), we present those definitions for Besov spaces and Chemin-Lerner spaces for the convenience of reader.

Finally, we explain some notations. Throughout the paper, $f \lesssim g$ denotes $f \leq Cg$, where $C > 0$ is a generic constant. $f \approx g$ means $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)$) the space of continuous (resp., continuously differentiable) functions on $[0, T]$ with values in a Banach space X . Also, $\|(f, g, h)\|_X$ means $\|f\|_X + \|g\|_X + \|h\|_X$, where $f, g, h \in X$.

2 Tools

In this section, we only present analysis properties in Besov spaces and Chemin-Lerner spaces in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 1)$, which will be used in the sequence section. For convenience of reader, the Appendix is devoted to those definitions for Besov spaces and Chemin-Lerner spaces.

Firstly, we give an improved Bernstein inequality (see, *e.g.*, [29]), which allows the case of fractional derivatives.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and $1 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$.*

(i) *If $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R_1 \lambda\}$, then*

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha f\|_{L^b} \lesssim \lambda^{\alpha+n(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b})} \|f\|_{L^a}, \text{ for any } \alpha \geq 0;$$

(ii) *If $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : R_1 \lambda \leq |\xi| \leq R_2 \lambda\}$, then*

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha f\|_{L^a} \approx \lambda^\alpha \|f\|_{L^a}, \text{ for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Besov spaces obey various inclusion relations. Precisely,

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, then*

- (1) *If $s > 0$, then $B_{p,r}^s = L^p \cap B_{p,r}^s$;*
- (2) *If $\tilde{s} \leq s$, then $B_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow B_{p,r}^{\tilde{s}}$. This inclusion relation is false for the homogeneous Besov spaces;*
- (3) *If $1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r} \leq \infty$, then $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\tilde{r}}^s$ and $B_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow B_{p,\tilde{r}}^s$;*
- (4) *If $1 \leq p \leq \tilde{p} \leq \infty$, then $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\tilde{p},r}^{s-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})}$ and $B_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow B_{\tilde{p},r}^{s-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})}$;*
- (5) *$\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$, $B_{p,1}^{n/p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0 (1 \leq p < \infty)$;*

where $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the space of continuous bounded functions which decay at infinity.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\varrho > 0$ and $1 \leq p < 2$. It holds that*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{r,\infty}^{-\varrho}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}$$

with $1/p - 1/r = \varrho/n$. In particular, this holds with $\varrho = n/2, r = 2$ and $p = 1$.

The global existence depends on a key fact, which indicates the connection between homogeneous Chemin-Lerner spaces and inhomogeneous Chemin-Lerner spaces, see [30] for the proof. Precisely,

Proposition 2.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq \theta, p, r \leq \infty$.*

(1) *It holds that*

$$L_T^\theta(L^p) \cap \tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s) \subset \tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s);$$

(2) *Furthermore, as $s > 0$ and $\theta \geq r$, it holds that*

$$L_T^\theta(L^p) \cap \tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s) = \tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)$$

for any $T > 0$.

Let us state the Moser-type product estimates, which plays an important role in the estimate of bilinear terms.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s > 0$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. Then $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s \cap L^\infty$ is an algebra and*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}.$$

Let $s_1, s_2 \leq n/p$ such that $s_1 + s_2 > n \max\{0, \frac{2}{p} - 1\}$. Then one has

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_1+s_2-n/p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_2}}.$$

In the sequel we also need a estimate for commutator.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$ and $s \in (-\frac{n}{p} - 1, \frac{n}{p}]$. Then there exists a generic constant $C > 0$ depending only on s, N such that*

$$\begin{cases} \|[f, \dot{\Delta}_q]g\|_{L^p} \leq C c_q 2^{-q(s+1)} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^s}, \\ \|[f, \dot{\Delta}_q]g\|_{L_T^\theta(L^p)} \leq C c_q 2^{-q(s+1)} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_1}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_2}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^s)}, \end{cases}$$

with $1/\theta = 1/\theta_1 + 1/\theta_2$, where the commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by $[f, g] = fg - gf$ and $\{c_q\}$ denotes a sequence such that $\|(c_q)\|_{l^1} \leq 1$.

Finally, we state a continuity result for compositions (see, e.g., [9]) to end this section.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $s > 0$, $1 \leq p, r, \theta \leq \infty$, $F \in W_{loc}^{[s]+3, \infty}(I; \mathbb{R})$ with $F(0) = 0$, $T \in (0, \infty]$ and $f \in \tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s) \cap L_T^\infty(L^\infty)$. Then there exists a function C depending only on s, p, r, n , and F such that*

$$\begin{cases} \|F(f) - F'(0)f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^\infty})\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}^2, \\ \|F(f) - F'(0)f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)})\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}^2. \end{cases}$$

3 Global-in-time existence

Recently, the second and third authors [30] have already established a local existence theory for generally symmetric hyperbolic systems in spatially critical Besov spaces, which is viewed as the generalization of the basic theory of Kato and Majda [12, 15]. The new result can be applied to the current problem (1.10), since the non-symmetric dissipation does not work for the local-in-time existence. Precisely,

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $U_0 \in B_{2,1}^{3/2}$, then there exists a time $T_0 > 0$ (depending only on the initial data) such that*

- (i) (Existence): *system (1.10) has a unique solution $U(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T_0}(B_{2,1}^{3/2}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T_0}^1(B_{2,1}^{1/2})$;*
- (ii) (Blow-up criterion): *if the maximal time $T^*(> T_0)$ of existence of such a solution is finite, then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|U(t, \cdot)\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} = \infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla U(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} dt = \infty.$$

Furthermore, in order to show that classical solutions in Proposition 3.1 are globally defined, the next task is to construct a priori estimates according to the dissipative mechanism of the Tomoshenko system. To this end, we define by $E(T)$ the energy functional and by $D(T)$ the corresponding dissipation functional:

$$E(T) := \|U\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})}$$

and

$$D(T) := \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|(v, z_x)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|u_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{-1/2})}$$

for any time $T > 0$.

The first lemma is related to nonlinear a priori estimates for the dissipation for y .

Lemma 3.1. *(The dissipation for y) If $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(B_{2,1}^{3/2}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(B_{2,1}^{1/2})$ is a solution of (1.10) for any $T > 0$, then*

$$E(T) + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sqrt{E(T)}D(T). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Multiplying the equations in (1.10) by v , u , $\sigma(z) - \sigma(0)$ and y , respectively, and then adding the resulting equalities to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (v^2 + y^2 + u^2 + S(z)) - \left(vu + [\sigma(z) - \sigma(0)] \right)_x + \gamma y^2 = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$S(z) = 2 \int_0^z (\sigma(\eta) - \sigma(0)) d\eta.$$

Note that $S(z)$ is equivalent to z^2 , due to the fact $\sigma'(\eta) > 0$ and the smallness assumption (3.40) below. Then we perform the integral to (3.2) with respect to x and obtain the basic energy equality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_0(U) + \gamma \|y\|_{L^2}^2 = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where the energy functional $E_0(U)$ is defined by

$$E_0(U) = \|(v, u, y)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(z) dx \approx \|U\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Integrating in $t \in [0, T]$ and taking the square-root of the resulting inequality, we arrive at

$$\|U\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} + \sqrt{2\gamma} \|y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \leq \|U_0\|_{L^2} \quad (3.4)$$

for any $T > 0$.

Next, we perform the frequency-localization estimate and get the dissipation rate from y in homogeneous Chemin-Lerner spaces. Applying the operator $\dot{\Delta}_q (q \in \mathbb{Z})$ to (1.10), we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Delta}_q v_t - \dot{\Delta}_q u_x + \dot{\Delta}_q y = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q u_t - \dot{\Delta}_q v_x = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q z_t - \dot{\Delta}_q y_x = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q y_t - \sigma'(z) \dot{\Delta}_q z_x - \dot{\Delta}_q v + \gamma \dot{\Delta}_q y = [\dot{\Delta}_q, \sigma'(z)] z_x, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where the commutator is defined by $[f, g] := fg - gf$. Multiplying (3.5) with $\dot{\Delta}_q v$, $\dot{\Delta}_q u$, $\sigma'(z) \dot{\Delta}_q z$ and $\dot{\Delta}_q y$, respectively, and then adding the resulting equalities to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|\dot{\Delta}_q v|^2 + |\dot{\Delta}_q y|^2 + |\dot{\Delta}_q u|^2 + \sigma'(z) |\dot{\Delta}_q z|^2 \right) \\ & - \left\{ (\dot{\Delta}_q u \dot{\Delta}_q v)_x + \left(\sigma'(z) \dot{\Delta}_q z \dot{\Delta}_q y \right)_x \right\} + \gamma |\dot{\Delta}_q y|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(z)_t |\dot{\Delta}_q z|^2 - \sigma'(z)_x \dot{\Delta}_q z \dot{\Delta}_q y + [\dot{\Delta}_q, \sigma'(z)] z_x \dot{\Delta}_q y. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Furthermore, by employing the integral with respect to x , with the aid of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_0[\dot{\Delta}_q U] + \gamma \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\sigma'(z)_t\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma'(z)_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \|[\dot{\Delta}_q, \sigma'(z)] z_x\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$E_0[\dot{\Delta}_q U] := \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v, \dot{\Delta}_q y, \dot{\Delta}_q u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma'(z) |\dot{\Delta}_q z| \approx \|\dot{\Delta}_q U\|_{L^2}^2.$$

From (1.10) and (3.40), we have

$$\|\sigma'(z)_t\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|z_t\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|y_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly,

$$\|\sigma'(z)_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|z_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Together with (3.8)-(3.9), by integrating in $t \in [0, T]$, with the help of Young's inequality, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{E_0[\dot{\Delta}_q U]} + \sqrt{2\gamma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{E_0[\dot{\Delta}_q U_0]} + \sqrt{\|(y_x, z_x)\|_{L_T^\infty(L^\infty)}} \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} + \|\dot{\Delta}_q z\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \right) \\ & \quad + \sqrt{\|[\dot{\Delta}_q, \sigma'(z)]z_x\|_{L_T^2(L^2)}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from the commutator estimate in Proposition 2.3 that

$$\|[\dot{\Delta}_q, \sigma'(z)]z_x\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|z\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\{c_q\}$ denotes a sequence such that $\|c_q\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q U\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} + \sqrt{2\gamma} 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \\ & \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta}_q U_0\|_{L^2} + c_q \sqrt{\|(y_x, z_x)\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}} \left(\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right) \\ & \quad + c_q \sqrt{\|z\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \left(\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Here and below, each $\{c_q\}$ satisfies $\|c_q\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$ although it is possibly different in (3.12). Hence, summing up on $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \sqrt{2\gamma} \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\ & \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sqrt{\|(y, z)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \left(\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Finally, combining (3.4) and (3.13), it follows from the fact that indicates the connection between homogeneous Besov spaces and inhomogeneous Besov spaces (Proposition 2.1), we conclude that

$$E(T) + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sqrt{E(T)} D(T). \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, the proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *(The dissipation for v) If $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})$ is a solution of (1.10) for any $T > 0$, then*

$$\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \lesssim E(T) + \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \sqrt{E(T)} D(T). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. To do this, it is convenient to rewrite the system (1.10) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x + y = 0, \\ u_t - v_x = 0, \\ z_t - y_x = 0, \\ y_t - z_x - v + \gamma y = g(z)_x, \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

where the smooth function $g(z)$ is defined by

$$g(z) = \sigma(z) - \sigma(0) - z = O(z^2)$$

satisfying $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) = 0$.

By multiplying the four equations by $-y, -z, -u$ and $-v$, respectively, we can deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_1(U) + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|y\|_{L^2}^2 + \gamma\|y\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^2} + \|g(z)_x\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$E_1(U) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (vy + uz) dx.$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_1(U) + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|y\|_{L^2}^2 + \|z\|_{L^\infty}\|z_x\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Integrating (3.18) in $t \in [0, T]$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\ & \lesssim (|E_1(U)| + |E_1(U_0)|) + \|y\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|z\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\|z_x\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}\|v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\ & \lesssim E(T)^2 + \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}}^2 + \|y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)}^2 + E(T)D^2(T), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

for any $T > 0$, where we have used the embedding property in Lemma 2.2.

Then, by Young's inequality again, we get

$$\|v\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \lesssim E(T) + \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} + \sqrt{E(T)}D(T). \quad (3.20)$$

Next, we turn to the localization energy estimate. Applying the operator $\dot{\Delta}_q (q \in \mathbb{Z})$ to (3.16) implies that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Delta}_q v_t - \dot{\Delta}_q u_x + \dot{\Delta}_q y = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q u_t - \dot{\Delta}_q v_x = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q z_t - \dot{\Delta}_q y_x = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q y_t - \dot{\Delta}_q z_x - \dot{\Delta}_q v + \gamma \dot{\Delta}_q y = \dot{\Delta}_q g(z)_x. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

Multiplying the first equation in (3.21) by $-\dot{\Delta}_q y$, the second one by $-\dot{\Delta}_q z$, the third one by $-\dot{\Delta}_q u$ and the fourth one by $-\dot{\Delta}_q v$, respectively, then adding the resulting equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\dot{\Delta}_q v \dot{\Delta}_q y + \dot{\Delta}_q u \dot{\Delta}_q z)_t + (\dot{\Delta}_q v \dot{\Delta}_q z + \dot{\Delta}_q u \dot{\Delta}_q y)_x + |\dot{\Delta}_q v|^2 \\ &= |\dot{\Delta}_q y|^2 + \gamma \dot{\Delta}_q y \dot{\Delta}_q v - \dot{\Delta}_q g(z)_x \dot{\Delta}_q v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

With the aid of Hölder and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_1[\dot{\Delta}_q U] + \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q g(z)_x\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$E_1[\dot{\Delta}_q U] := - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\Delta}_q v \dot{\Delta}_q y + \dot{\Delta}_q u \dot{\Delta}_q z) dx.$$

By performing the integral with respect to $t \in [0, T]$, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta}_q U\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q U_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q y\|_{L_T^2(L^2)}^2 \\ & \quad + \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q g(z)_x\|_{L_T^1(L^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Furthermore, Young's inequality enables us to get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \\ & \lesssim c_q \|U\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + c_q \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \\ & \quad + c_q \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + c_q \sqrt{\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}} \|g(z)_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where the norm of $g(z)$ on the right-side of (3.25) can be estimated by Proposition 2.4 and Remark 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(z)_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} &\lesssim \int_0^T \|g(z)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}^2 dt \lesssim \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Therefore, together with (3.25)-(3.26), by summing up on $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim \|U\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}} \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Finally, noticing (3.20) and (3.27), it follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \lesssim E(T) + \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \sqrt{E(T)}D(T), \quad (3.28)$$

which is just (3.15). $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *(The dissipation for z_x) If $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(B_{2,1}^{3/2}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(B_{2,1}^{1/2})$ is a solution of (1.10) for any $T > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} &\lesssim E(T) + \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \sqrt{E(T)}D(T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. Multiplying the third equation in (3.16) by y_x and the fourth one by $-z_x$ and integrating the resulting equalities over $\mathbb{R}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} E_2(U) + \|z_x\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|y_x\|_{L^2}^2 + (\|v\|_{L^2} + \|y\|_{L^2}) \|z_x\|_{L^2} + \|z\|_{L^\infty} \|z_x\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$E_2(U) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}} z_x y dx.$$

Similar to the procedure leading to (3.20), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_x\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} &\lesssim E(T) + \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \sqrt{E(T)}D(T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

On the other hand, from (3.21), we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Delta}_q z_t - \dot{\Delta}_q y_x = 0, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q y_t - \dot{\Delta}_q z_x - \dot{\Delta}_q v + \gamma \dot{\Delta}_q y = \dot{\Delta}_q g(z)_x. \end{cases} \quad (3.32)$$

Then, by multiplying the first equation in (3.32) by $\dot{\Delta}_q y_x$ and the second one by $-\dot{\Delta}_q z_x$, respectively, and then employing the energy estimates on each block, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q z_x\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \\ &\lesssim c_q(\|U\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}}) + c_q\|y_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\quad + c_q\epsilon\|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + c_q C_\epsilon(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}) \\ &\quad + c_q \sqrt{\|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}} \|g(z)_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Furthermore, similar to the estimates (3.26)-(3.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim \|U\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \sqrt{\|z\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \|z_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

where we have chosen $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$.

Finally, by combining (3.31) and (3.34), we arrive at (3.29). $\square$

Lemma 3.4. (The dissipation for u_x) If $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(B_{2,1}^{3/2}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(B_{2,1}^{1/2})$ is a solution of (1.10) for any $T > 0$, then

$$\|u_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{-1/2})} \lesssim E(T) + \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})}. \quad (3.35)$$

Proof. Applying the inhomogeneous operator $\Delta_q (q \geq -1)$ to the first equation and second one of (3.16) gives

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_q v_t - \Delta_q u_x + \Delta_q y = 0, \\ \Delta_q u_t - \Delta_q v_x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.36)$$

Multiplying the first equation in (3.36) by $-\Delta_q u_x$ and the second one by $\Delta_q v_x$, we can obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_3[\Delta_q U] + \|\Delta_q u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\Delta_q v_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_q u_x\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_q y\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.37)$$

where

$$E_3[\Delta_q U] := - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_q v \Delta_q u_x dx.$$

Then we integrate (3.37) with respect to $t \in [0, T]$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_q u_x\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 &\leq \left(|E_3[\Delta_q U]| + E_3[\Delta_q U_0] \right) \\ &\quad + \|\Delta_q v_x\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\Delta_q u_x\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|\Delta_q y\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

By using Young's inequality and embedding properties in Lemma 2.2, we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-q/2} \|\Delta_q u_x\|_{L_T^2(L^2)} \\ &\lesssim c_q E(T) + c_q \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + c_q \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\quad + c_q \sqrt{\|u_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{-1/2})} \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

which leads to (3.35) immediately. $\square$

Having Lemmas 3.1-3.4, we obtain the following a priori estimates for solutions. For brevity, we feel free to skip the details.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(B_{2,1}^{3/2}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(B_{2,1}^{1/2})$ is a solution of (1.10) for $T > 0$. There exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if*

$$E(T) \leq \delta_1, \quad (3.40)$$

then the following estimate holds:

$$E(T) + D(T) \lesssim \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sqrt{E(T)} D(T). \quad (3.41)$$

Furthermore, it holds that

$$E(T) + D(T) \lesssim \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}}. \quad (3.42)$$

By using the standard boot-strap argument, for instance, see [18] (Theorem 7.1, p.100), Theorem 1.1 follows from the local existence result (Proposition 3.1) and a priori estimate (Proposition 3.2). Here, we give the outline for completeness.

The proof of Theorem 1.1. If the initial data satisfy $\|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2}$, by Proposition 3.1, then we determine a time $T_1 > 0$ ($T_1 \leq T_0$) such that the local solutions of (1.10) exists in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T_1}(B_{2,1}^{3/2})$ and $\|U\|_{\tilde{L}_{T_1}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \leq \delta_1$. Therefore from Proposition 3.2 the solutions satisfy the a priori estimate $\|U\|_{\tilde{L}_{T_1}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \leq C_1\|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2}$ provided $\|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^\sigma} \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2C_1}$. Thus by Proposition 3.1 the system (1.10) for $t \geq T_1$ with the initial data $U(T_1)$ has again a unique solution U satisfying $\|U\|_{\tilde{L}_{(T_1, 2T_1)}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \leq \delta_1$, further $\|U\|_{\tilde{L}_{2T_1}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \leq \delta_1$. Then by Proposition 3.2 we have $\|U\|_{\tilde{L}_{2T_1}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} \leq C_1\|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2}$. Subsequently, we continuous the same process for $0 \leq t \leq nT_1, n = 3, 4, \dots$ and finally get a global solution $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(B_{2,1}^\sigma)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \left(\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|(v, z_x)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|u_x\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B_{2,1}^{-1/2})} \right) \\ & \leq C_1\|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

4 Optimal decay rates

By employing the energy method in Fourier spaces in [10, 17], it is well-known that the linearized system (1.5)-(1.6) admits the dissipative structure

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda(i\xi) \leq -c\eta_1(\xi), \quad \text{for } a = 1,$$

with $\eta_1(\xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{1+\xi^2}$, that is, the following differential inequality holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\hat{U}] + c_1\eta_1(\xi)|\hat{U}|^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $E[\hat{U}] \approx |\hat{U}|^2$. As a matter of fact, following from the derivation of (4.1) in [10, 17], we can deduce the frequency-localization differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\widehat{\Delta_q U}] + c_1\eta_1(\xi)|\widehat{\Delta_q U}|^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

for $q \geq -1$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}E[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q U}] + c_1 \eta_1(\xi) |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q U}|^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4.1 Decay property for the linearized system

As shown by [31], we do the similar high-frequency and low-frequency analysis to achieve the following decay property for (1.5)-(1.6).

Proposition 4.1. *If $U_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $\sigma \geq 0$ and $s > 0$, then the solutions $U(t, x)$ of (1.5)-(1.6) has the decay estimate*

$$\|\Lambda^\ell U\|_{B_{2,1}^{\sigma-\ell}} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell+s}{2}} \quad (4.4)$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq \sigma$. In particular, if $U_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq p < 2$), one further has

$$\|\Lambda^\ell U\|_{B_{2,1}^{\sigma-\ell}} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap L^p} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{\ell}{2}} \quad (4.5)$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq \sigma$.

Additionally, we also obtain the decay property on the framework of homogeneous Besov spaces, see [31] for the similar proof.

Proposition 4.2. *If $U_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sigma + s > 0$, then the solution $U(t, x)$ of (1.5)-(1.6) has the decay estimate*

$$\|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s}{2}}. \quad (4.6)$$

In particular, if $U_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq p < 2$), one further has

$$\|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap L^p} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{\sigma}{2}}. \quad (4.7)$$

4.2 Localized time-weighted energy approaches

Firstly, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(t)$ the Green matrix associated with the linearized system (1.5)-(1.6) as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(t)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-t\hat{\Phi}(i\xi)}\mathcal{F}f], \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$\hat{\Phi}(i\xi) = (i\xi A + L),$$

where

$$A = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by the classical Duhamel principle, the solution to Cauchy problem of the nonlinear Timoshenko system

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x + y = 0, \\ u_t - v_x = 0, \\ z_t - y_x = 0, \\ y_t - z_x - v + \gamma y = g(z)_x, \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

with

$$U|_{t=0} = U_0(x) \quad (4.10)$$

can be represented by

$$U(t, x) = \mathcal{G}(t)U_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(t - \tau)\mathcal{R}(\tau)d\tau, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathcal{R} := (0, 0, 0, g(z)_x)^\top$. Note that the smooth function $g(z) = O(z^2)$ satisfying $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) = 0$.

Additionally, from the definition of $\mathcal{G}(t)$, it is not difficult to obtain the frequency-localization Duhamel principle for (4.9)-(4.10).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $U(t, x)$ is a solution of (4.9)-(4.10). Then*

$$\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell U(t, x) = \Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t)U_0] + \int_0^t \Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t - \tau)\mathcal{R}(\tau)]d\tau \quad (4.12)$$

for $q \geq -1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^\ell U(t) = \dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t)U_0] + \int_0^t \dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t - \tau)\mathcal{R}(\tau)]d\tau \quad (4.13)$$

for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$.

Based on Lemma 4.1, we shall deduce the optimal decay estimate by developing time-weighted energy approaches as in [16] in terms of high-frequency and low-frequency decompositions. For this purpose, we first define some sup-norms as follows

$$\mathcal{E}_0(t) := \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|U(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}};$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) := \sup_{0 \leq \ell < 1/2} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (1 + \tau)^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\ell}{2}} \|\Lambda^\ell U(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1/2-\ell}} + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (1 + \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} U(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0}.$$

As a consequence, we have

Proposition 4.3. *Let $U = (v, u, z, y)^\top$ be the global classical solution in the sense of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $U_0 \in B_{2,1}^{3/2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}$ and the norm $\mathcal{M}_0 := \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}}$ is sufficiently small. Then it holds that*

$$\|\Lambda^\ell U(t)\|_{X_1} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_0 (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}} \quad (4.14)$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 1/2$, where $X_1 := B_{2,1}^{1/2-\ell}$ if $0 \leq \ell < 1/2$ and $X_1 := \dot{B}_{2,1}^0$ if $\ell = 1/2$.

Actually, Proposition 4.3 depends on an energy inequality related to sup-norms $\mathcal{E}_0(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$, which is included in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $U = (v, u, z, y)^\top$ be the global classical solution in the sense of Theorem 1.1. Additional, if $U_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{E}_0(t)\mathcal{E}_1(t), \quad (4.15)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_0$ is the same notation defined in Proposition 4.3.

Proof. The proof consists of two steps.

Step 1: High-frequency estimate

Due to $\Delta_q f \equiv \dot{\Delta}_q f (q \geq 0)$, it suffices to show the inhomogeneous case. It follows from the high-frequency analysis for (1.5)-(1.6) (see, e.g., [31]) that

$$\|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathcal{G}(t) U_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-c_2 t} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell U_0\|_{L^2} \quad (c_2 > 0) \quad (4.16)$$

for all $q \geq 0$. Then by Lemma 4.1, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell U\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t)U_0]\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-\tau)\mathcal{R}(\tau)]\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \lesssim e^{-c_2 t} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell U_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-c_2(t-\tau)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathcal{R}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(1/2-\ell)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell U\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} e^{-c_1 t} + \int_0^t e^{-c_2(t-\tau)} \|\mathcal{R}(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} d\tau \tag{4.18}$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 1/2$. Next, we turn to estimate the norm $\|\mathcal{R}(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{R}(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} &= \|g'(z)z_x\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leq \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \|\Lambda^\ell z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2-\ell}} \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \\
&\lesssim (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \mathcal{E}_0(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 have been used.

Therefore, together with (4.18)-(4.19), we obtain

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(1/2-\ell)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell U\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} e^{-c_1 t} + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \mathcal{E}_0(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t) \tag{4.20}$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 1/2$.

Step 2: Low-frequency estimate

In the following, we proceed with the different low-frequency estimate in comparison with [31], where those analysis remain only for higher dimensions due to interpolation techniques. Here, the proof involves new observations, which is divided into two cases.

(i) In the case of $0 \leq \ell < 1/2$, we have the low-frequency estimate for (1.4)-(1.5):

$$\|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t)U_0]\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{w}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}}. \tag{4.21}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell U(t, x)\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t)U_0]\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-\tau)\mathcal{R}(\tau)]\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} + I_1 + I_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^{t/2} \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t - \tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau)]\|_{L^2} d\tau,$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{t/2}^t \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t - \tau) \mathcal{R}(\tau)]\|_{L^2} d\tau.$$

For I_1 , noticing that the form $\mathcal{R}(\tau) \approx g(z)_x$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim \int_0^{t/2} (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell+1}{2}} \|g(z)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{t/2} (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell+1}{2}} \|g(z)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{t/2} (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell+1}{2}} \|z\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) \int_0^{t/2} (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell+1}{2}} (1 + \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell+1}{2}} \int_0^{t/2} (1 + \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where we have used the fact that $g(z) = O(z^2)$ and the embeddings $L^1 \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}$ in Lemma 2.3 and $B_{2,1}^{1/2} \hookrightarrow L^2$.

On the other hand, for I_2 , we have

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{t/2}^t (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}} \|g(z)_x\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}} d\tau. \tag{4.24}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.4 that

$$\|g(z)_x\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}} \lesssim \|g(z)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2}} \lesssim \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-1} \mathcal{E}_1^2(t). \tag{4.25}$$

Hence, together with (4.24)-(4.25), we are led to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) \int_{t/2}^t (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}} (1 + \tau)^{-1} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) (1 + t)^{-1} \int_{t/2}^t (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(t) (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Finally, combining (4.22)-(4.23) and (4.26), we conclude that

$$\|\Delta_{-1}\Lambda^\ell U(t, x)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} + \mathcal{E}_1^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}}. \quad (4.27)$$

(ii) In the case of $\ell = 1/2$, similar to the procedure leading to (4.27), we can deduce the corresponding nonlinear low-frequency estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q<0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{1/2} U\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \sum_{q<0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{1/2} [\mathcal{G}(t)U_0]\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \sum_{q<0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{1/2} [\mathcal{G}(t-\tau)\mathcal{R}(\tau)]\|_{L^2} d\tau. \\ & \lesssim \|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{E}_1^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

With these preparations (4.20), (4.27)-(4.28) in hand, the desired inequality (4.15) is followed directly by the definitions of $\mathcal{E}_0(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$. $\square$

The proof of Proposition 4.3. From Theorem 1.1, we see that $\mathcal{E}_0(t) \lesssim \|U_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_0$. Thus, if $\mathcal{M}_0$ is sufficient small, it follows from (4.15) that

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{E}_1^2(t), \quad (4.29)$$

which implies that $\mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_0$ by the standard method, provided that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is sufficient small. Consequently, we obtain the decay estimate in Proposition 4.3. $\square$

5 Appendix

For convenience of reader, in this section, we review the Littlewood–Paley decomposition and definitions for Besov spaces and Chemin–Lerner spaces in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 1)$, see [4] for more details.

Let (φ, χ) is a couple of smooth functions valued in $[0,1]$ such that φ is supported in the shell $\mathbf{C}(0, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{8}{3}) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n | \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$, χ is supported in the ball $\mathbf{B}(0, \frac{4}{3}) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n | |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ satisfying

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-k}\xi) = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ (the set of temperate distributions which is the dual of the Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}$), define

$$\Delta_{-1}f := \chi(D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(\xi)\mathcal{F}f), \quad \Delta_qf := 0 \quad \text{for } q \leq -2;$$

$$\Delta_qf := \varphi(2^{-q}D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-q}|\xi|)\mathcal{F}f) \quad \text{for } q \geq 0;$$

$$\dot{\Delta}_qf := \varphi(2^{-q}D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-q}|\xi|)\mathcal{F}f) \quad \text{for } q \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathcal{F}f$, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ represent the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform on f , respectively. Observe that the operator $\dot{\Delta}_q$ coincides with Δ_q for $q \geq 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}'_0 := \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ the tempered distributions modulo polynomials $\mathcal{P}$. We first give the definition of homogeneous Besov spaces.

Definition 5.1. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ is defined by

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'_0 : \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{qs} \|\dot{\Delta}_qf\|_{L^p})^r \right)^{1/r}, & r < \infty, \\ \sup_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qs} \|\dot{\Delta}_qf\|_{L^p}, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, the definition of inhomogeneous Besov spaces is stated as follows.

Definition 5.2. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the inhomogeneous Besov spaces $B_{p,r}^s$ is defined by

$$B_{p,r}^s = \{f \in \mathcal{S}' : \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} (2^{qs} \|\Delta_qf\|_{L^p})^r \right)^{1/r}, & r < \infty, \\ \sup_{q \geq -1} 2^{qs} \|\Delta_qf\|_{L^p}, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we also present the definition of Chemin-Lerner spaces first initialled by J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner [5], which are the refinement of the space-time mixed spaces $L_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ or $L_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)$.

Definition 5.3. For $T > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq r, \theta \leq \infty$, the homogeneous mixed Chemin-Lerner spaces $\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s) := \{f \in L^\theta(0, T; \mathcal{S}') : \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} < +\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} := \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{qs} \|\dot{\Delta}_q f\|_{L_T^\theta(L^p)})^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

with the usual convention if $r = \infty$.

Definition 5.4. For $T > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq r, \theta \leq \infty$, the inhomogeneous Chemin-Lerner spaces $\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s) := \{f \in L^\theta(0, T; \mathcal{S}') : \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)} < +\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)} := \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} (2^{qs} \|\Delta_q f\|_{L_T^\theta(L^p)})^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

with the usual convention if $r = \infty$.

We further define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(B_{p,r}^s) := \tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{p,r}^s) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T], B_{p,r}^s)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(B_{p,r}^s) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], B_{p,r}^s) | \partial_t f \in \tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)\},$$

where the index T will be omitted when $T = +\infty$.

By Minkowski's inequality, $\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)$ may be linked with the usual space-time mixed spaces $L_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)$.

Remark 5.1. It holds that

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)} \leq \|f\|_{L_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)} \text{ if } r \geq \theta; \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)} \geq \|f\|_{L_T^\theta(B_{p,r}^s)} \text{ if } r \leq \theta.$$

Acknowledgments

J. Xu is partially supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0857), Special Foundation of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2012T50466) and the NUAA Fundamental Research Funds (NS2013076). The work is also partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Researches (S) 25220702 and (A) 22244009.

References

- [1] F. Ammar Khodja, A. Benabdallah, J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. Racke, Energy decay for Timoshenko systems of memory type, *J. Differential Equations*, **194** 82–115 (2003).
- [2] H. Abidi, G. L. Gui and P. Zhang, On the wellposedness of three-dimensional inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **204** 189–230 (2012).
- [3] M. S. Alves, C. A Raposo, J. E. Muñoz Rivera, M. Sepulveda and O. Vera Villagran, Uniform stabilization for the transmission problem of the Timoshenko system with memory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **369** 323–345 (2010).
- [4] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin. *Fourier Analysis and Non-linear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [5] J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes, *J. Differential Equations*, **121** 314–328 (1995).
- [6] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Invent. Math.*, **141**, 579–614 (2000).
- [7] R. Danchin, On the well-posedness of the incompressible density-dependent Euler equations in the L^p framework, *J. Differential Equations*, **248** 2130–2170 (2010).
- [8] H. D. Fernández Sare and R. Racke, On the stability of damped Timoshenko systems: Cattaneo vs. Fourier law, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **194** 221–251 (2009).
- [9] B. Haspot, Existence of global strong solutions in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **202** (2011) 427–460.
- [10] K. Ide, K. Haramoto and S. Kawashima, Decay property of regularity-loss type for dissipative Timoshenko system, *Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **18**, 647–667 (2008).

- [11] K. Ide and S. Kawashima, Decay property of regularity-loss type and nonlinear effects for dissipative Timoshenko system, *Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **18**, 1001-1025 (2008).
- [12] T. Kato, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **58** (1975) 181–205.
- [13] Y. Liu and S. Kawashima, Decay property for the Timoshenko system with memorytype dissipation, *Math. Models Methods. Appl. Sci.* **22** (2012) 1150012(1–19).
- [14] Z. Liu and C. Peng, Exponential stability of a viscoelastic Timoshenko beam, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* **8** 343–351 (1998).
- [15] A. Majda, *Compressible Fluid Flow and Conservation laws in Several Space Variables*, Berlin/New York, Springer-Verlag: 1984.
- [16] A. Matsumura, *An energy method for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids*, MRC Technical Summary Report, Univ. of Wisconsin-Masison, # **2194** (1981)
- [17] N. Mori and S. Kawashima, Decay property for the Timoshenko system with Fourier’s type heat conduction, *J. Hyper. Differ. Eqs.* **11**, 135–157 (2014).
- [18] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the quations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20**, 67–104 (1980).
- [19] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes system, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **262** 3556–3584, (2012).
- [20] C. A. Raposo, W. D. Bastos and M. L. Santos, A transmission problem for Timoshenko system, *Comput. Appl. Math.* **26**, 215–234 (2007).
- [21] C. A. Raposo, J. Ferreira, M. L. Santos and N. N. O. Castro, Exponential stability for the Timoshenko system with two weak damping, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18**, 535–541 (2005).

- [22] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. Racke, Mildly dissipative nonlinear Timoshenko systems: Global existence and exponential stability, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **276**, 248–278 (2002).
- [23] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. Racke, Global stability for damped Timoshenko systems, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **9**, 1625–1639 (2003).
- [24] R. Racke and B. Said-Houari, Decay rates and global existence for semilinear dissipative Timoshenko systems, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **71**, 229–266 (2013).
- [25] M. L. Santos, D. S. Almeida Júnior and J. E. Muñoz Rivera, The stability number of the Timoshenko system with second sound, *J. Differential Equations* **253**, 2715–2733 (2012).
- [26] B. Said-Houari and A. Kasimov, Decay property of Timoshenko system in thermoelasticity, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **35**, 314–333 (2012).
- [27] S. P. Timoshenko, On the correction for shear of the differential equation for transverse vibrations of prismatic bars, *Philos. Mag.* **41**, 744–746 (1921).
- [28] S. P. Timoshenko, On the transverse vibrations of bars of uniform cross-section, *Philos. Mag.* **43**, 125–131 (1922).
- [29] J. H. Wu, Lower bounds for an integral involving fractional Laplacians and the generalized Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **263**, 803–831 (2005).
- [30] J. Xu and S. Kawashima, Global classical solutions for partially dissipative hyperbolic system of balance laws, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **211**, 513–553 (2014).
- [31] J. Xu and S. Kawashima, The optimal decay estimates on the framework of Besov spaces for generally dissipative systems, Preprint (2014).
- [32] J. Xu and Z. J. Wang, Relaxation limit in Besov spaces for compressible Euler equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **99**, 43–61 (2013).
- [33] J. Xu and Wen-A. Yong, Relaxation-time limits of non-isentropic hydrodynamic models for semiconductors, *J. Differential Equations* **247**, 1777–1795 (2009).